



To: Committee & Elections Manager

**Statement of individual Cabinet Member's decision**

Name of Cabinet Member Councillor John Burden

Portfolio Executive Leader

Delegation authority (usually the delegated powers in annex 1.6 and 1.7) Annex 1.6

Cabinet decision date \_\_\_\_\_ Minute No \_\_\_\_\_ or

**Declaration of Interests (if any)**

Of the Cabinet Member making the decision None

Of any other Cabinet Member consulted in relation to the decision – Councillor Andrea Webb, Portfolio Holder for Community and the Environment.

None

CCTV shared service arrangements –proposal for a CCTV Services Partnership between Medway Council, Gravesham BC, Maidstone BC and Swale BC.

Topic

Decision

- 1) To approve the proposal for a CCTV Services Partnership between Medway Council, Gravesham BC, Maidstone BC and Swale BC; in exercise of powers under the Local Government Act 1972, Local Government Act 2000 and Localism Act 2011. The partner councils to operate together through a Partnership Board and in accordance with a Memorandum of Agreement.
- 2) To authorise the Assistant Director (Communities) in consultation with the Assistant Director (Governance and Law) and the Portfolio Holder for Community and the Environment to take all necessary actions to enable the proposals for the partnership, including all necessary legal agreements, to be finalised and implemented by 1 October 2012 for an initial term to end on 31 March 2017
- 3) To appoint the Assistant Director (Communities) to serve on the CCTV Partnership Board, with the Strategic Manager Community Safety as a named substitute.
- 4) To delegate to the Assistant Director (Communities) authority to make decisions, on behalf of Gravesham, as required of the Partnership Board as laid out in the Terms of Reference and Memorandum of Agreement.

Reasons for the decision

The partnership model offers a more holistic approach to the running and decision making of the CCTV service and its future development.

It also offers transparency and ensures that Gravesham has a say in the future direction of the partnership and thus avoid any negative impact on the service we receive.

Each partner maintains ownership of their own cameras and control room equipment and has full say over deployment of cameras in their own area and the purchase of any additional cameras from their own resources.

Initially the revenue cost of the service remains as per the previous agreement, but with the possibility of economies of scale over time.

There are limitations in place in respect of the powers of the Partnership Board in that certain matters are reserved for the Council –including annual agreement of the CCTV partnership business plan and budgetary allocations, termination or extension of the arrangement, and approval of new partners.

Other options considered (if any)

Continue with a separate contract and service level agreement between Gravesham BC and Medway Council.

I confirm that this decision is:


Please mark/tick

- In accordance with the council's Policy Framework
- Within the council's approved budget
- In compliance with the council's contract procedure rules
- In compliance with the council's financial procedure rules
- In accordance with the protocol for Cabinet member decisions

Background papers used (if any)

'CCTV shared service arrangements' report to Executive Leader by Assistant Director (Communities) dated 27 September 2012.

Signature  
(countersigned  
by Executive  
Leader if a Key  
Decision)

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 27/9/12

All decisions must be made in accordance with the decision-making rules laid down in the constitution and must be in compliance with the policy framework, the approved budget, contract procedure rules and financial procedure rules.

The allocations of areas of responsibility to Lead Members and their delegated powers are laid out in annex 1.6 and 1.7 and may only be exercised accordingly. The Executive Leader is entitled to change the allocation of portfolios to Lead Members at any time.

In addition to the above, further delegations may be made from time to time.

Key decisions may not be made by individual Cabinet Members other than the Executive Leader. In the case of a Key Decision recommended by a Cabinet Member, the Executive Leader's countersignature will be required in order to effect the decision.

The Chief Executive was given delegated authority to respond to consultation documents on behalf of the Cabinet in consultation with the appropriate portfolio holder at the Cabinet meeting on 7 March 2011.

**This decision will come into force and may then be implemented on the expiry of 5 working days after publication unless it is called in.**