

Addressing the local audit backlog : Consultation document

Overview

Local audit is both a vital and independent source of assurance and a key element of the checks and balances within the local accountability framework.

A significant number of local audits in England are outstanding. The government, working with the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) and other system partners, is taking steps to clear the backlog and put the system on a sustainable footing moving forward.

This consultation seeks views on proposed legislative changes to the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 (the 2015 Regulations). These are central to cross-system proposals agreed by the Department for Levelling-Up Housing and Communities (DLUHC), the FRC, the National Audit Office (NAO), the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA), the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW), and Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA).

Given the requirement for concerted action, system partners have published a joint statement explaining the package of measures and how the various elements are intended to interact. This [Joint Statement](#) provides vital context for this consultation and should be reviewed before responding to the questions below.

As the Joint Statement explains, the wider package of measures consists of 3 stages:

- Phase 1: Reset involving clearing the backlog of historical audit opinions up to and including financial year 2022/23 by 30 September 2024
- Phase 2: Recovery from Phase 1 in a way that does not cause a recurrence of the backlog by using backstop dates to allow assurance to be rebuilt over multiple audit cycles
- Phase 3: Reform involving addressing systemic challenges in the local audit system and embedding timely financial reporting and audit

These are not proposals we take lightly, but these are exceptional times. All system partners, including the government, share the conviction that bold steps are necessary to reset the system.

The [NAO is also consulting](#), in parallel to this consultation, on related changes to the Code of Audit Practice. Further detail on the NAO's proposals can also be found in the [Joint Statement](#).

CIPFA LASAAC will consult on temporary changes to the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting for 2023/24 and 2024/25 to reduce burdens on the finance teams and auditors. Further detail on CIPFA LASAAC's proposals can also be found in the [Joint Statement](#).

The government has published a [draft statutory instrument](#) (PDF, 179 KB) alongside this consultation. As explained further below, this covers the core elements of the proposed amendments to the 2015 Regulations.

Phase 1: 'Backstop' proposals for financial years 2015/2016 to 2022/2023

The proposed changes to the 2015 Regulations would require Category 1 authorities to ensure that (subject to consideration of potential exceptional circumstances – see below) by 30 September 2024 they have published audited accounts for financial years 2015/2016 to 2022/2023.

An authority's ability to meet the requirement above would be contingent on an audit opinion being issued in time. For this reason, the NAO is proposing that the Code of Audit Practice be amended so that auditors are required (unless specific circumstances apply – see below) to issue their opinion in time for the authority to publish its accounts by the specified date in the 2015 Regulations (in this case, 30 September 2024).

Regulation 10(2) currently imposes a duty on authorities to publish a delay notice if an audit of accounts has not been concluded before the date specified. We propose to disapply this duty for accounts with outstanding audits for financial years 2015/2016 to 2022/2023. This is because, under these proposals, authorities would be required to publish audited accounts by the backstop date.

The proposal to set the backstop date at 30 September 2024 reflects the need to ensure sufficient time for auditors to issue opinions, including modified or disclaimed opinions due to the backstop, and for bodies to publish accounts containing those audit opinions once the legislation has come into force.

The NAO's consultation proposes that the Code of Audit Practice would introduce exemptions from the proposed statutory deadline for auditors in certain circumstances. These would include, for example, if the auditor is unable to issue their opinion where there are outstanding objections to the accounts that could be material to that opinion.

Where there is an outstanding objection of this nature, we consider it may be desirable to create an equivalent exemption for Category 1 authorities. This consultation also seeks views on other exceptional circumstances in which Category 1 authorities might be exempted from the 30 September 2024 backstop date.

Our intention is to publish a list of Category 1 authorities and audit firms which meet statutory deadlines for the publication of audited accounts and those which do not, making it clear any instances where unaudited accounts had also not been published by the required date.

Under these proposals, the existing requirements in the 2015 regulations relating to the publication of unaudited accounts and to public inspection periods would continue to apply in their current form (see 'Part 5' of the Regulations).

Under these proposals, the published, audited accounts must also be approved in accordance with regulation 9(2) and therefore the approval must be given before the backstop date.

Further context on these aspects of the proposals can be found in the [Joint Statement](#) (especially paragraphs 5 to 14 and 25 to 46) as well as the [draft regulations](#) (PDF, 179 KB).

1. Notwithstanding the possibility of exemptions in exceptional circumstances (covered by questions 3 and 4 below), do you agree that Category 1 authorities should be required to have published audited accounts for all financial years up to and including financial year 2022/2023 by 30 September 2024?

Do you have any comments on this issue?

2. Do you agree that the requirement at Regulation 10(2) for Category 1 authorities to publish a delay notice should be disappplied in relation to any outstanding audits covering financial years 2015/2016 to 2022/2023?

Please explain your response

3. Do you think it would be appropriate for Category 1 authorities to be exempt from the statutory backstop date of 30 September in circumstances where the auditor is unable to issue their opinion due to outstanding objections to the accounts that could be material to that opinion?

Please explain your response

4. Do you think there would be any other exceptional circumstances which might create conditions in which it would be appropriate for Category 1 authorities to be exempt from the 30 September backstop date?

Please explain your response, including, where relevant, details of exceptional circumstances you consider would justify an exemption.

5. We intend to publish a list of local bodies and audit firms which meet statutory deadlines for the publication of audited accounts and those which do not. Do you think there should be additional consequences for Category 1 authorities or audit firms (excluding an authority or firm covered by an exemption) if they do not comply with the statutory deadline of 30 September 2024?

Please explain your response and, where relevant, include any suggested consequences

Phase 2: 'Backstop' proposals for the recovery period, financial years 2023/2024 to 2027/2028

The proposed changes to the 2015 Regulations would require (subject to consideration of potential exceptions – see below) Category 1 authorities to publish audited accounts by the following dates for financial years 2023/2024 to 2027/2028:

- 2023/24: 31 May 2025
- 2024/25: 31 March 2026
- 2025/26: 31 January 2027
- 2026/27: 30 November 2027
- 2027/28: 30 November 2028

As per the Phase 1 backstop proposals, the NAO is proposing that the Code of Audit Practice would require auditors (unless specific circumstances apply) to issue their opinion in time for the authority to publish its accounts by the specified dates.

As per the Phase 1 backstop proposals, we propose to disapply regulation 10(2), which requires authorities to publish a delay notice if the audit of accounts has not been concluded before the date specified.

Also to maintain consistency with the proposals for phase 1, the NAO's consultation proposes that the Code of Audit Practice would introduce exemptions from the proposed statutory deadlines for auditors in certain circumstances. These would include, for example, if the auditor is unable to issue their opinion where there are outstanding objections to the accounts that could be material to that opinion.

Where there is an outstanding objection of this nature, we consider it may be desirable to create an equivalent exemption for Category 1 authorities. This consultation also seeks views on other exceptional circumstances in which Category 1 authorities might be exempted from the backstop dates for this period.

Our intention is to publish a list of local bodies and audit firms which meet statutory deadlines for the publication of audited accounts and those which do not, making it clear any instances where unaudited accounts had also not been published by the required date.

Questions 10 and 11 below seek views on whether, in light of the proposed deadlines for the publication of audited accounts, the existing 31 May deadline for the publication of unaudited accounts (see regulation 15(1)(a)) remains appropriate for financial years 2024/2025 to 2027/2028. (Note that the deadline of 31 May 2024 for the publication of unaudited accounts for the current financial year is not under consideration.)

Under these proposals, the existing requirements in the 2015 regulations relating to public inspection periods would continue to apply in their current form (see 'Part 5' of the Regulations).

Under these proposals, the published, audited accounts must also be approved in accordance with regulation 9(2) and therefore the approval must be given before the backstop date.

Further context on these aspects of the proposals can be found in the [Joint Statement](#) (especially paragraphs 15 to 46), as well as the [draft regulations](#) (PDF, 179 KB).

6. Notwithstanding the possibility of exemptions in exceptional circumstances (covered by questions 7 and 8 below), do you agree that Category 1 local authorities should be required to publish audited accounts for financial years 2023/2024 to 2027/2028 by the following dates? 2023/24: 31 May 2025, 2024/25: 31 March 2026, 2025/26: 31 January 2027, 2026/27: 30 November 2027, 2027/28: 30 November 2028.

Do you have any comments on these dates?

7. Do you think it would be appropriate for Category 1 authorities to be exempt from the statutory backstop dates for Phase 2 in circumstances where the auditor is unable to issue their opinion due to outstanding objections to the accounts that could be material to that opinion?

Please explain your response

8. Do you think there would be any other exceptional circumstances which might create conditions in which it would be appropriate for Category 1 authorities to be exempt from the backstop dates for Phase 2?

Please explain your response, including, where relevant, details of exceptional circumstances you consider would justify an exemption

9. We intend to publish a list of local bodies and audit firms which meet statutory deadlines for the publication of audited accounts and those which do not. Do you think there should be additional consequences for Category 1 authorities or audit firms (excluding an authority or firm covered by an exemption) if they do not comply with the statutory deadlines for Phase 2?

Please explain your response and, where relevant, include any suggested consequences

10. The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 (regulation 15(1)(a)) currently requires Category 1 local authorities to publish unaudited accounts by the 31 May following the end of the financial year. In light of the proposed deadlines for the publication of audited accounts, do you think the 31 May deadline remains appropriate for financial years 2024/2025 to 2027/2028?

Please explain your response

11. The existing annual deadline for the publication of unaudited accounts is 31 May. As set out above, we are proposing a backstop date for the publication of audited accounts for the financial year 2023/2024 of 31 May 2025. This would mean that 31 May 2025 would be the statutory deadline for both the publication of audited accounts for financial year 2023/2024 and unaudited accounts for financial year 2024/2025. Do you expect this would create any significant issues?

agree disagree unsure

Please explain your response

12. The government anticipates that the Phase 1 backstop proposals will result in modified or disclaimed opinions. A modified or disclaimed opinion at the end of Phase 1 would require auditors to subsequently rebuild assurance. The Phase 2 backstop dates are intended to enable this work to be spread across multiple years. Given this additional work, and noting the further explanation at paragraphs 15 to 46 of the Joint Statement, do you have any views on the feasibility of audited accounts being published by the proposed statutory backstop dates for Phase 2?

Please respond in the free text box below

Publication of an audit letter

Regulation 20 of the 2015 Regulations places a duty on Category 1 authorities to consider and then publish any audit letter received from the auditor “following completion of an audit.”

The NAO’s Code of Audit Practice currently specifies that an auditor’s annual report meets the definition of an ‘audit letter’ in the 2015 Regulations. In practice, therefore, Category 1 authorities have a duty to consider and publish annual audit reports in accordance with Regulation 20.

The 2020 Code of Audit Practice states that an auditor’s annual report brings together all of the auditor’s work over the year. This should be presented at an appropriate forum at the body (e.g. Audit Committee or Full Council) and be made available on the authority’s website.

A core element of the auditor’s annual report is a commentary on the organisation’s arrangements to secure value for money through the economic, efficient and effective use of its resources. The commentary should be clear, readily understandable and highlight any issues that the auditor wishes to draw to the attention of the body or the wider public. This should include details of any recommendations arising from the audit and follow-up of recommendations issued previously, along with the auditor’s view as to whether they have been implemented satisfactorily.

These arrangements previously allowed for timely and reasonably predictable public reporting of local auditors’ audit letters. The recent backlog issues have, however, resulted in both delays to this public reporting and much less predictability in terms of when the auditors’ letters would be published.

Under the proposed changes to the NAO’s Code of Audit Practice, aimed at helping with clearing the backlog of local audit opinions and restoring more timely reporting of auditors’ work on arrangements to secure value for money, the NAO plans to

consult on a fixed annual deadline of 30 November for production and subsequent publication of the auditor's annual report.

A fixed cycle may mean that, due to the proposed deadlines for publication of audited accounts for financial years 2023/2024 to 2027/2028, the auditor would issue their annual report before they have completed all of their work. However, it is hoped that these changes will enable the auditor to report the outcome of the majority of their work on financial sustainability and governance in a more timely and predictable way.

It may therefore be helpful for the 2015 Regulations to require reports categorised as 'audit letters' to be considered and published by Category 1 authorities whenever they are issued, rather than limiting this to circumstances in which an audit has been completed.

Further context on these proposals can be found in the [NAO's consultation](#).

13. Do you agree that it would be beneficial for the 2015 Regulations be amended so that Category 1 bodies would be under a duty to consider and publish audit letters received from the local auditor whenever they are issued, rather than, as is currently the case, only following the completion of the audit?

Do you have any comments on this issue?

Equality impacts

In considering new legislation, under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (the Act), the government is required to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act;
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not; and
- foster good relations between people who share protected characteristics and those who do not.

Under the Act, protected characteristics refer to:

- age
- disability
- sex
- gender reassignment
- marriage or civil partnership
- pregnancy and maternity
- race
- religion or belief
- sexual orientation.

14. Do you have any comments on whether any of the proposals outlined in this consultation could have a disproportionate impact, either positively or negatively, on people with protected characteristics or wish to highlight any other potential equality impacts?

Further feedback

15. Finally, do you have any further comments on the proposed changes to the 2015 Regulations not covered by the questions so far, including relating to any unintended consequences?

Where possible, please limit your response to 500 words

Source: Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities

<https://consult.levellingup.gov.uk/local-audit-and-conduct/addressing-the-local-audit-backlog-in-england/consultation/subpage.2024-02-02.7007797646/>